CalConnect TC

May 2007 CalConnect Interoperability Test Report

Published Administrative

Warning for drafts

This document is not a CalConnect Standard. It is distributed for review and comment, and is subject to change without notice and may not be referred to as a Standard. Recipients of this draft are invited to submit, with their comments, notification of any relevant patent rights of which they are aware and to provide supporting documentation.

Recipients of this draft are invited to submit, with their comments, notification of any relevant patent rights of which they are aware and to provide supporting documentation.

The Calendaring and Scheduling Consortium, Inc. 2007

:2007

© 2007 The Calendaring and Scheduling Consortium, Inc.

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from the address below.

The Calendaring and Scheduling Consortium, Inc.

4390 Chaffin Lane McKinleyville California 95519 United States of America

copyright@calconnect.org
www.calconnect.org

Contents

For	eword	.i۱
Int	roduction	٠. ١
	endees	
1.	General Comments	′
2.	Summary	

:2007

Foreword

This document incorporates by reference the CalConnect Intellectual Property Rights, Appropriate Usage, Trademarks and Disclaimer of Warranty for External (Public) Documents as located at

http://www.calconnect.org/documents/disclaimerpublic.pdf.

Introduction

This document contains notes and results from the May 2007 calendar interoperability event, sponsored by Boeing, held in Seattle Washington. The basic purpose of the event was to test CALDAV Free Busy and Scheduling and iCalendar iMIP and iTIP events.

The chart below shows the attendees, their organization and the products they were testing.

Attendees

Attendees	Organization	Products
Cyrus Daboo	Apple	Apple Client and CALDAV server
Michael Douglass	RPÍ	Bedework CALDAV Server
Daniel Boelzle	Mozilla	Lightning and Thunderbird Calendar clients
Tony Becker	Marware	Marware Calendar
Mikeal Rogers	OSAF	Cosmo CALDAV server and Chandler Client
Daniel Rauschenbach	Synchronica	CALDAV Server

NOTE Oracle was not present but provided external access to their CALDAV server for testing purposes.

May 2007 CalConnect Interoperability Test Report

1. General Comments

The following applications and products were tested:

- Four CALDAV servers RPI, Oracle, Apple and OSAF (Cosmo)
- Four CALDAV clients OSAF (Chandler), Mozilla, Marware and Apple
- iCalendar interoperability Mozilla and Apple

The focus of this event was Free Busy and Scheduling testing in CALDAV. As more and more clients are adding in the features, we are able to test more of the spec. During testing, bugs in code were found and noted. One of the benefits of interoperability testing is to stress test implementations in a controlled environment. Each product found situations where they need to go back and adjust their implementations in order to improve interoperability.

Examples of what is tested:

- Connection to all CALDAV servers from all clients.
- Successfully publishing events
- Publishing separate calendars
- Handling of errors and authentication

Examples of issues found are:

- Serialization of all requests
- Handling of Mkcalendar
- Products not showing first instances
- Not handling EXDATE=a,b,c
- Fetching ETags on non-existing resources when adding items
- Error reporting
- Weekly recurring event 4 times Queries "in between" weeks return no results
- Deleting recurring event with multiple overridden instances
- UI handling of switching between recurring event with count (1.2) that do not update the recurrence field
- Deleting some instances, then changing the whole event into an all-day daily recurring one failed (err 500)
- A server that seems to filter out a VALARM and doesn't write it.

2. Summary

We continue to have good results testing CALDAV clients and servers. General comments again are that it is always good to have interops in person. The ability to stress test in a controlled, "safe" environment is a plus.

The aim of the next interop is to test more CALDAV Scheduling features.

Respectfully submitted, Pat Egen, Interoperability Event Manager